

February 29, 2000

ADDENDUM #1 TO EXCEPTION REPORT #4

A substantial portion of the documentation in the LSOG 4 Pre-Order and Order Business Rules and the EDI Pre-Order and Order Guides is incomplete, incorrect or unclear.

Addendum to Issue 4.3

KPMG observed discrepancies between the EDI specifications and examples in the BA Pre-Order EDI Guide v 4.1.2.

B. Form CCSR, fields AN and CUSCODE: BA responded via Change Control that the AN and CUSCODE fields have been designated as Detail data elements. The v4.1.2 Pre-Order EDI Guide and the EDI example depict this. The EDI example, however, places the REF in a non-standard location. It is unclear whether the REF should be mapped in a non-standard location.

F. Form ADR/DTN, field TNR/TNX: BA responded via Change Control that the valid entries for TNR/TNX fields are not identified in the EDI Guide and the example accurately reflects mapping for these elements. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, both the EDI Guidelines and example map the TNR and TNX fields as P01/SLN/REF. There is no standard REF segment located with the SLN loop except within an N1 loop. It is unclear whether this element should be mapped within the N1 loop.

L. Form CTNS I, field INQRESNBR: BA responded via Change Control that the INQRESNBR field should be mapped as a Detail element. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, the EDI example depicts the P01/REF for INQRESNBR in a non-standard location. It is unclear whether the data element should be mapped in a non-standard location.

Q3. Form CTNS I, field ATN: BA responded via Change Control that the ATN field is mapped within an SLN/N1/REF. In the EDI example in the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, the N1/REF is depicted in a non-standard location. It is unclear whether the N1/REF should be mapped in a non-standard location.

T1. Form CTNS R, field FX: BA responded via Change Control that mapping for the FX field has been corrected in both the EDI Guidelines and example to state that FX is mapped to SLN/SI. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, the EDI Guidelines on page 34 states that the FX field should be mapped to P01/SLN/N1/SI. It is unclear whether this is correct.

T7. Form CTNS R, field ATN: BA responded via Change Control that mapping for the ATN field has been corrected in the EDI example to state that ATN is mapped to

P01/SLN/N1/REF. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, the P01/SLN/N1/REF is depicted in a non-standard location. It is unclear whether the ATN field should be mapped in a non-standard location.

U. Form CTN/R I, field INQRESNBR: BA responded via Change Control that the INQRESNBR field should be mapped as a Detail element. The EDI example in the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, states that INQRESNBR is mapped as a Header REF. It is unclear whether this is correct.

Z1. Form CTN/R I, field ATN: BA responded via Change Control that the ATN field should be mapped to P01/SLN/REF and that the example depicts the correct mapping for the ATN field. In the v4.1.2 Pre-Order EDI Guide, however, the EDI example depicts the ATN mapping as P01/SLN/N1/REF and the N1/REF is depicted in a non-standard location. It is unclear the correct mapping for the ATN.

AA6. Form CTN/R R, field EXCHSEL: BA responded via Change Control that the EXCHSEL field should be mapped to SLN/N1/REF. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the EDI Guidelines and example state that the EXCHSEL field is mapped to P01/PID. It is unclear which mapping configuration is correct.

AA7. Form CTN/R R, field EXCHWC: BA responded via Change Control that the EXCHWC field should be mapped to SLN/N1/REF. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, the EDI Guidelines state that the EXCHWC field should be mapped to the P01/N1 and the EDI example states the EXCHWC field should be mapped to the SLN/N1. It is unclear which is correct.

AA11. Form CTN/R R, field ATN: BA responded via Change Control that the ATN field should be mapped to the P01/SLN/N1/REF. In the EDI example in the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, the P01/SLN/N1/REF is depicted in a non-standard location. It is unclear whether the ATN field should be mapped in a non-standard location.

BB12. Form LQB, field SAST: BA responded via Change Control that mapping for the SAST field has been added to the EDI example in version 4.1.2. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, a discrepancy exists between the mapping in the Guidelines and the example. The EDI Guidelines state that the SAST field maps to a Header N1/NX2 and the EDI example states that the SAST maps to a Header N1/N4. It is unclear which mapping configuration is correct.

BB18. Form PSA-S, field SAST: BA responded via Change Control that the SAST field should be mapped as both Header and Detail. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the EDI Guidelines has Header N1/NX2 mapping and Detail N1/N4 mapping. The EDI example, however, has Header N1/N4 mapping and does not provide Detail mapping. It is unclear which Header mapping is correct and clarify what the Detail mapping looks like in the example.

BB24. Form DDR, field APPRES: BA responded via Change Control that the APPRES and REMARKS fields have been changed to reflect MTX02. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, however, the Comments in the EDI Guidelines (p.119) state MSG01 for the APPRES field. It is unclear whether this is correct.

BB30. Form LXA, fields SAST, SAZC: BA responded via Change Control that the missing N1 segment was added to the example for the SAST and SAZC fields. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v 4.1.2, however, the EDI example is still missing the N1 segment for these elements.

Issue 4.7

KPMG observed discrepancies between the EDI specifications and examples in the BA Pre-Order v. 4.1.1 EDI Guide.

A. The EU form (pages 39 to 45) specifies that the following fields should be mapped as Header and Detail "H/D." It is unclear whether they should be mapped as both Header and Detail.

1. LOCNUM
2. NAME
3. AHN
4. SAPR
5. SANO
6. SASF
7. SASD
8. SASN
9. SATH
10. SASS
11. SADLO
12. FLOOR
13. ROOM
14. CUSTSTRTYP
15. CUSTSTRVAL
16. CUSTUNITTYP
17. CUSTUNITVAL
18. LCON
19. (LCON) TELNO
20. EUMI
21. ACC
22. WSOP
23. LIDT TN OPT
24. PLD
25. PLC
26. CPE MFR
27. CPE MOD

- 28. ERL
- 29. IWO
- 30. IWBAN
- 31. IWCON
- 32. (IWCON) TELNO
- 33. EAN
- 34. EATN

- B. The EDI specifications for the EU form state that the elements referenced in bullet A should be mapped as Header and Detail “H/D”. The EDI example (3.7), however, states that the following list of elements (LIDTTNOPT, WSOP, PLD, PLC, EATN, LOCNUM, LCON) should be mapped as Detail only. It is unclear which mapping configuration is correct.
- C. The EU Disconnect Form specifies on page 44 that the IBT field should be mapped into the Header. The EDI example, however, states that the IBT field should be mapped to the EU Disconnect P01 loop as SI*TI*IB*IBT. It is unclear whether the IBT field should only be mapped to the header.

Issue 4.8

KPMG observed discrepancies between the EDI specifications and examples in the BA Pre-Order EDI Guide v 4.1.2

- A. On page 3 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the EDI Guidelines for the CCSR and the EDI Example depict the qualifier for USOC as “UC”. As this differs from every other mapping of USOC (with “SC” as the qualifier).
- B. The 3.3 EDI Example for ADR/DTN Address Validation Inquiry/Direct TN Selection inquiry and 3.4 ADA/DTN Address Validation Inquiry/Direct TN Selection do not reflect separate SLN structures for the TN, Exchange, and Switch Groups.
- C. The 3.5 EDI Example for CTNS I Conversational Telephone Number Selection inquiry and 3.6 CTNS R Conversational Telephone Number Selection response do not reflect separate SLN structures for TN, Exchange, and Switch Groups.
- D. The 3.7 EDI Examples for CTN/R I Conversational Telephone Number Reservation inquiry and 3.8 CTN/R R Conversational Telephone Number Reservation response do not reflect separate SLN structures for TN, Exchange, and Switch Groups.
- E. For the ADA/DTN form, the EDI example depicts the SLN/N1/REF mapping for the ATN in a non-standard location. The standard locates the SLN/N1 after the N9/MTX. It is unclear whether this mapping is correct.

F. On page 26 of Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the qualifier for CLLI on the CTNS I form is noted as “1C” and the EDI Example depicts “IC” as the qualifier. It is unclear which mapping is correct.

G. For the CTNS R form, the EDI example depicts the PO1/REF mapping for the INQRESNBR in a non-standard location. The standard locates the PO1/REF after the PO1/PID. It is unclear whether this mapping is correct.

H. In the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the mapping on page 33 for TNSL on the CTNS R form changed from the SLN loop to PO1/PID. The EDI example, however, depicts TNSL as PO1/PID and SLN/PID. As the Business Rules combine AVTN and TNSL together as paired data items that can repeat, this would align with SLN mapping for both of them.

I. On page 34 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the CTNS R form EXCHWC field as PO1/N1. The EDI example, however, depicts PO1/SLN/N1 mapping for the EXCHWC field.

J. On page 42 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the CTN/R I form TTYPE field as PO1/SI. The EDI example, however, depicts mapping for the TTYPE field as PO1/SI and PO1/PID. It is unclear which mapping configuration is correct.

K. On page 51 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the CTN/R R form FX field as PO1/SLN/N1/SI. The EDI example, however, depicts PO1/SLN/SI mapping for the FX field.

L. On page 53 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v 4.1.2, the EDI Guidelines for the CTN/R R form ERRCODE depicts N902=ERROR CODE. The EDI Example depicts N902=ERRCODE.

M. On page 61 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v 4.1.2, The CSA form EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the ATN, CUSCODE fields as PO1/REF. The EDI example, however, depicts both fields as Header REFs.

N. On page 69 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the DLR form EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the SAST field as Header N1/NX2. The EDI example, however, depicts mapping for the SAST field as Header N1/N4.

O. On pages 72-77 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2 DLA form, the EDI Guidelines depict the mapping for the ABVNME, DGN, DTX, CAPTION, HDR, LUEPGCD, PRIORLVL, SPCLSER VPHRASE, YPH, YPHV fields within the PO1. The EDI example, however, depicts the mapping for these elements within the PO1/SLN.

P. On page 85 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the LQB form EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the REMARKS field as MSG01.

Q. On page 87 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the LQR form EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the ORD and ECCKT fields as MSG01.

R. On page 105 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the PSR-S form EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the REMARKS field as MSG01.

S. On page 110 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v 4.1.2, the TRU (RMOD/R) form EDI Guidelines depict SAST mapping as Header N1/NX2. The EDI Example, however, depicts SAST mapping as Header N1/N4.

T. On page 112 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v 4.1.2, the TTR/R form EDI Guidelines depict SAST mapping as Header N1/NX2. The EDI Example, however, depicts SAST mapping as Header N1/N4.

U. On page 123 of the Pre-Order EDI Guide v4.1.2, the SOT form EDI Guidelines depict mapping for the MLT field as MSG01.

Assessment

If LSOG 4 documentation is missing, incorrect or unclear in Pre-Order and Order Business Rules and EDI Pre-Order and Order Guide a CLEC cannot receive the correct response (e.g. confirmation or error) from BA and cannot properly format a transaction.